

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,435	07/25/2003	Kevin L. Parsons	8342-89801	2344
24628	7590 11/30/2		EXAM	INER
WELSH & I	KATZ, LTD	DZIERZYNS	SKI, EVAN P	
120 S RIVER	SIDE PLAZA			
22ND FLOOI	R	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, 1	IL 60606		2875	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/627,435	PARSONS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evan Dzierzynski	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	eptember 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,15-25,28-36,39-50 and 54 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-14,26,27,37,38 and 51-53 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,435

Art Unit: 2875

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 15-25, 28-36, 39-50 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruwer (US 6,249,089 B1) in view of Galli (US 2003/0147239).

In regard to independent claims 1, 16, 29, and 40: Bruwer discloses a flashlight and a method of operating the flashlight having a momentary contact (102 in Fig. 2) provided on a body of the flashlight for activating a light source of the flashlight and for selection of any of a plurality of different operating modes, including flashing function (col. 7, lines 6-7) and S.O.S. flashing function (col. 7, lines 32-33)]; and means (201) for detecting entry of an input code through the momentary contact where the input code identifies one of plurality of operating modes; and means (202) for operating the light source in accordance with identified mode. Further, Bruwer teaches a sequential mode of operating the flashlight in an emergency notification function (col. 7, lines 5-12) and deactivating the flashlight without the identified mode (col. 7, lines 25-30). Bruwer does not teach operating a light source in accordance with each identified mode of the plurality of operating modes including activating, deactivating and activating the flashlight through use of the momentary contact without changing the identified mode. Galli discloses a dual mode switch mechanism for a flashlight, the dual mode switch

Art Unit: 2875

mechanism operates a light source in accordance with each identified mode of the plurality of operating modes including activating, deactivating and activating the flashlight through use of the momentary contact without changing the identified mode (paragraph 0004). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute Bruwer's switch with the dual mode switch as taught by Galli, motivated by Galli's advantage in paragraph 0006.

It is noted that applicant has not separately argued the dependent claims nor the rejections thereof.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As for the argument in regard to the Galli reference (claims 1, 16, 29, and 40), when the device of Galli is engaged into the momentary on position, the device is capable of activating and deactivating the flashlight, the momentary contact is interpreted as being used to perform these functions in this particular situation because the device must be switched away from this momentary contact to engage another function of the device. Although the momentary contact may or may not specifically cause the change to the other functions of the lighting device, the fact that the device can be changed into the other functions of the device from the position in which momentary contact is engaged meets the claimed limitation of "... operating a light source in accordance with each identified mode of the plurality of operating modes

including, activating, deactivating, and activating the flashlight through use of the momentary contact."

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-14, 26-27, 37-38, and 51-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art of record, Bruwer, discloses the step of selecting the SOS mode. Bruwer fails to disclose the step of activating the momentary contact three times to select the SOS mode wherein each activation is no more than one-half second apart.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jigamian et al. (US 2003/0137834) discloses a lighting device with a momentary contact which changes the device into one of a plurality of different operating modes (paragraph 0045).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Dzierzynski whose telephone number is (571)-272-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00 am -3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on M-F (571)-272-2009. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/627,435

Art Unit: 2875

nit: 2875

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Evan Dzierzynski

11/16/2006

RENEE LUEBKE PRIMARY EXAMINER